ROUTING					
TO:	TO: NAME AND ADDRESS		DATE	INITIALS	
1	ISCAP		8/22/0	5	
2					
_3					
4					
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPA	RE REPLY	
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOM	AMENDATION	
	COMMENT	FILE	RETUR	RETURN	
	CONCURRENCE INFORMATION		SIGNA	SIGNATURE	
REMARKS:					
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS, AND PHONE NO. DATE					
Regina Greenwell/LBJ Library \$/17/0					

	CODET.
743	EUNEI
Security	Classification)

CONTROL NO. [TCS-NLJ-019/04 B]

COPY 3 OF

Channels

Access to this document will be restricted to those approved for the following specific activities:

DECLASSIFIED UNDER AUTHORITY OF THE INTERAGENCY SECURITY CLASSIFICATION APPEALS PANEL. E.O. 13526, SECTION 5.3(b)(3)

ISCAP No. 2005 - 024 , document 4







THE PRESIDENT'S DAILY BRIEF 20 DECEMBER 1968

Paradon Review Case & Hill C4-256 ISCAP Appeal Document # 4

Portion identified as unresponsive to appeal

4. Soviet Union

E.O. 13526, section 3.3(b)(3)

Withheld from public release Pub. L. 86-36

Analysis of
on 12 December during an antiballistic missile firing from the test site (previously noted in the Brief for 12 December) suggests that the operation probably was connected with modification of the Galosh antiballistic missile. This is the system currently being installed around Moscow.

Portion identified as unresponsive to appeal

Portion identified as unresponsive to appeal